REMARKS

Claim Rejections

Claims 14-16, and 25-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter

which Applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 25 is also rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by

Kim (6,686,529).

Claim Amendments and Allowable Subject Matter

The Examiner has indicated that claims 1-13 and 17-24 are allowable. By

this Amendment, Applicant has canceled claims 14-16 and 25-30, and has rewritten

claim 17 in independent form and amended claims 18-24 to depend from claim 17.

It is believed that all of the claims remaining in this patent application contain subject

matter against which no prior art citations have been made.

Summary

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, Applicant submits that

this application is now in condition for allowance and such action is respectfully

requested. Should any points remain in issue, which the Examiner feels could best

be resolved by either a personal or a telephone interview, it is urged that Applicant's

local attorney be contacted at the exchange listed below.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: August 30, 2006

By:

Demian K. Jackson

Reg. No. 57,551

TROXELL LAW OFFICE PLLC 5205 Leesburg Pike, Suite 1404

Falls Church, Virginia 22041

Telephone: 703 575-2711

Telefax:

703 575-2707

CUSTOMER NUMBER: 40144

23